

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/049,314 03/27/98 **ADAMS** D 390P007204-U **EXAMINER** PM82/0811 MARK F HARRINGTON BUCCI, D PERMAN & GREEN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD CT 06430 3652 **DATE MAILED:** 08/11/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

יִּצִי					
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Examiner	G	iroup Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the corre	spondence addre)ss	
Period for Response	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statuto It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ry minimum of thirty (from the mailing date	30) days will be cons	idered timely. on .	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·	
☐ This action is FINAL .					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 			merits is closed	in	
Disposition of Claims					
\nearrow Claim(s) $1-29$			_ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-11, and 21-29 [X Claim(s) 7 and 12-20			is/are rejected.		
V Claim(s) 7 and 12-20		is/are obie	cted to.		
☐ Claim(s)					
Application Papers		requiremen			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under large large. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the large large. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International stage. 	e priority documents ha	ive been			
*Certified copies not received:	self or		•		
Attachment(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).244 or	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other			
Office A	Action Summary				
	· · ·				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial Number: 09/049,314

Art Unit: 3652

1. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities:

on line 2 of claim 4, "had" should be --has--;

and on line 10 of claim 21, "begin" should be --being--.

Correction is required.

- 2. The drawings are objected to because the reference numeral 64 referred to on line 8 of page 8 is not shown in the drawing figures. Correction is required.
- 3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 is indefinite because it sets forth no additional method steps.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonora et al '585.

Bonora et al '585 show an apparatus comprising: a frame forming three chambers 14,28,30; and a substrate support 12 movable mounted to the frame and having at least two separate support areas (e.g. shelves), the first and second support areas movable as is claimed.

Art Unit: 3652

6. Claims 1-5, 8-11, 21-23, 25-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blum et al.

Blum et al show an apparatus comprising; a frame 202 forming three chambers 242,244,246 (see fig. 12); and a substrate support having two separate support areas mounted to the frame.

- 7. Claims 7 and 12-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 28 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Bucci at telephone number (703) 308-3668.

Bucci/oc

August 09, 1999

DAVID A BUCCI

PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 317